S. COTRICT, COTA

Michael Crooker 156919 ECCF 20 MANNING AUR. Middleton, MA 01949 October 9, 2005

Hon Michael Fousor U.S. District Covet 1550 Mais ST. Springfield, MA 01103

Re: USA V Michael CROOKER CR-64-30034-MAP

Dear Tudge Penson:

As you know, on the chay of my arrest, June 23, 2004, in the Massithate's Count, I loudly protested my invocence on the Finearm-Silencer Charge And demanded of AUSA O'Regar that a Constat Residue Test be perfermed prior to ATF shorting through it in Sound-level Tests, through Continenting it therefores with Constat Residue. AUSA O'Regar Responded, "We do not allege That you ever actually, used it on a Finearm."

Throughout this case AUSA O'REGAN CONTINUES TO Contend That The device is a Finearm-Silencer And not an airgon-device. It has now come to light that the Gunshart Residue Test was never done And on Tone 25, 2004 ATF Shot Through The device, Forever Contaminating it with Their Conshot Residue And Forever preventing he from proving to a jury That The device was never shot through reforme with a firenem.

Ever worse, They be lying from the witness stand claiming there's no such policy and the Test Could not be done maying, apparently because they do not know how to unsered constended wise A Knowled end-cap.

Any important expect in Forensics will Tell you That The importance of a Coustit Residue Test to A Firenem-Silencer prosecution is ABOUT The Same AS AN ANTOPSY Report is TO A Morder CASE.

ATT closs have such a Corittes protocol Regiments
This Test and I have Read it in Their AdministRative STAY Manuals That were Released or
Court-ordered to me moder the FOIA.

Because of the prove, and due To AUSA O'REGAL'S direct involvement is this, I have written Complaint-Letters To the DOJ'S Office of Professional Responsibility, The Board of

BAR Overseers of the SJC, And To the U.S.

FURTHER, I INTEND TO CALL AUSA O'RESON AS A WITWESS IN This CASE TO elicit the Request, The Response, and The Aftermeth As Noted ABOVE. It is all highly Relevant to my innocence. No guilty person is a Finearm-Silencer case is going to demand in open court that a Conshet Residue Test Be done.

It is Requested that a fre-Trial Herring Toke place pertaining to this Constat Residue test issue. For one thrus it weeds to be determined what my remady here is touchere has been destroyed and I contend, destroyed on purpose. I believe IT warrants dismissal with prejudice And y not, then a Stipulation that my airgun-device was Never used with a firearm.

Leven with such stipulation, I still want to Introduce as evidence the Fact that I prejuested The Test, what the Response was, and what the Resout was.

Beenuse I Am going To CALL AUSA O'ROSAN